



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,908	04/08/2005	Stefan Ossenkopp	3261	9897
7590 Striker Striker & Stenby 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743	12/15/2008		EXAMINER CAZAN, LIVIU RADU	
		ART UNIT 3729	PAPER NUMBER PAPER	
		MAIL DATE 12/15/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/530,908	Applicant(s) OSSENKOPP ET AL.
	Examiner LIVIUS R. CAZAN	Art Unit 3729

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) LIVIUS R. CAZAN (Examiner). (3) I. ZBOROVSKY (Req. No. 28,563, for Applicants).
 (2) a. DEXTER TUGBANG (Primary Examiner). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-11 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants presented arguments regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as well as with respect to the art rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in an amendment. Language was discussed that would potentially overcome the 112, 2nd and 103 rejections. The applicant(s) to file a subsequent supplemental amendment formally for consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/A. Dexter Tugbang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729	
--	--